

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.1863 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-11 Year-2018 Thana- MAHILA P.S District- Supaul

=====

Pappu Yadav @ Pappu Kumar Yadav Son of Baleshwar Yadav r/v-
Kaushlipati, P.S. - Pipra, District - Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Kuldeep Kumar, Adv.
For the Informant	:	Mr. Karuna Kant Jha, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Anil Prasad Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 08-02-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in Supaul Mahila P.S. Case No.
11/2018, registered for the offences punishable under Sections
498A, 494, 323, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code and
section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

Allegation against the petitioner (husband) along with
other accused persons is of mental and physical torture upon the
informant on account of non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted on behalf of the
petitioner that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this
case. There is matrimonial dispute between the petitioner
and the informant. Petitioner is ready to keep the



informant (wife) with full honour and dignity, but the informant does want to live with him.

Petitioner is in custody since 15.10.2018.

Considering the facts aforesaid, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000 (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Supaul in connection with Supaul Mahila P.S. Case No. 11/2018, subject to the conditions:-

(i) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(ii) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the court below.

(iii) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

vinita/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

