

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.78845 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-383 Year-2013 Thana- PUPRI District- Sitamarhi

Ramrati Devi W/o Raj Kumar Paswan R/vill-Jaitpur, P.S-Pupri, Distt.-
Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dinesh Jha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sri Pranav Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 11-01-2019 This application, for grant of anticipatory bail, arises
out of Pupri P.S. Case No. 383/13, disclosing offences under
Sections 341, 342, 504, 506 and 353 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner that when the police
went to remove the encroachment over the land, the petitioner
and other co-accused abused them and threaten them to falsely
implicated in a case under SC/ST Act.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is
that she has falsely been made accused in this case and no such
offence has ever taken place and earlier the petitioner was given
the benefit under Section 41 Cr.P.C. and were released during
pendency of the investigation and now charge-sheet has been
submitted.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.



Having heard both sides, in view of the above facts, I am not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner rather petitioner should surrender before the court below and make prayer for regular bail and if any such application is filed, the court below after considering the fact that earlier the petitioner was given the benefit of Section 41 Cr.P.C and she has not misused the same, will pass an appropriate order, if possible on same day.

This application is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sunilkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

