

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.68085 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-167 Year-2019 Thana- BHARGAMA District- Araria

RUDAL KUMAR TANTI, Son of Lakshmi Tanti, Resident of Village -
Kushmaul, Ward No.11, P.S.- Bhargama, Distt.- araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vipin Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Amit Kumar Rakesh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 04-12-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for
the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 447, 448, 354(A), 354(B), 354 (D), 384, 504, 506, 509/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 66 of the Information Technologies Act.

As per FIR, the informant resides with her children including her daughter namely Tripati Kumari aged about 18 years, who is student of Graduation first year. Petitioner along with his own brother namely Bajrangi Tanti has created a forge Facebook I.D. in the name of daughter of the informant and through which vulgar post and comments are being done. That act relating to outrage modesty and obstacles activities with her daughter were being done on the way by the said accused persons and when they are restraining from doing the same they threat the informant and her daughter that they will be kidnapped and be murdered. On 06.07.2019 at about 9.00 AM



accused Rudal Tanti came at her door and threatened the informant and same are being done since past four months.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 28.07.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that informant alleged that the petitioner had threatened her since last four months. But she did not lodge any Sanha or FIR with respect to same and who has prevented her from lodging the same in concerned P.S.

I have perused the record including case diary of this case and statement under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C. the victim has supported the prosecution story.

Considering the aforesaid facts, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected in connection with Bhargama P.S. Case No. 167 of 2019 from the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Araria.

The application is dismissed accordingly.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

amitkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

