

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66357 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-196 Year-2014 Thana- BHARGAMA District- Araria

1. RITESH MISHRA, Aged about 40 years, Male, Son of Govind Mishra, Resident of Village - Jainagar, Kalipatti, P.S.- Bhargama, Distt - Araria.
2. Sanotsh Kumar Mishra, Aged about 35 years, Male, Son of Achin Lal Mishra, Resident of Village Jainagar, Kalipatti, P.S. Bhargama, Distt. Araria.
3. Jiwachh Kumar Jha @ Jiwats Kumar Jha, Aged about 34 years, Male, Son of Binodanand Jha, Resident of Village Jainagar, Kalipatti, P.S. Bhargama, Distt Araria.
4. Sanjeet Kumar Jha @ Sanjeet Jha, Aged about 48 years, Male, Son of Late Ramanath Jha, Resident of Village Jainagar, Kalipatti, P.S. Bhargama, Distt Araria.
5. Ashish Kumar Jha @ Ashish Jha, Aged about 45 years, Male, Son of Triloknath Jha, Resident of Village Jainagar, Kalipatti, P.S. Bhargama, Distt Araria.
6. Pravin Kumar Mishra, Aged about 46 years, Male, Son of Dayanand Mishra, Resident of Village - Jainagar, Kalipatti, P.S.- Bhargama, Distt - Araria.
7. Rahul Kumar Mishra @ Rahul Jha, Aged about 44 years, Male, Son of Kumodanand Jha, Resident of Village Jainagar, Kalipatti, P.S. Bhargama, Distt Araria.
8. Amod Jha, Aged about 46 years, Male, Son of Late Mahendranath Jha, Resident of Village - Jainagar, Kalipatti, P.S.- Bhargama, Distt - Araria.
9. Kalanand Jha, Aged about 46 years, Male, Son of Late Baidhnath Jha, Resident of Village - Jainagar, Kalipatti, P.S.- Bhargama, Distt - Araria.
10. Balchand @ Batas @ Bhalchand Wats, Aged about 42 years, Male, Son of Taranand Jha, Resident of Village - Jainagar, Kalipatti, P.S.- Bhargama, Distt - Araria.
11. Ashok Jha, Aged about 43 years, Male, Son of Hari Jha, Resident of Village - Jainagar, Kalipatti, P.S.- Bhargama, Distt - Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Gopal Kumar Jha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Singh No. 1

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-10-2019

Heard both sides.



The petitioners apprehend their arrest in Bhargama P.S. Case No.196 of 2014, registered under Sections 147, 448, 341, 342, 323, 354(B), 379, 504 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were on police bail.

In view of this fact itself that the petitioners were granted bail by the police during the course of investigation and thereafter charge sheet was submitted, the anticipatory bail is not maintainable.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Jha, J)

S.KUMAR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

