

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21752 of 2019

Subhash Poddar, Son of Ramdeo Poddar, Resident of Village Ward No. 15,
Adrsh Nagar, Sultanganj, P.S.- Sultanganj, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Union of India through General Manager, Eastern Railway, Kolkata.
3. The General Manager, Eastern Railway, Kolkata.
4. The Divisional Railway Manager, Eastern Railway, Malda.
5. The Railway Station Manager, Sultanganj, District- Bhagalpur.
6. The Commissioner, Bhagalpur Division, Bhagalpur.
7. The District Magistrate, Bhagalpur, District- Bhagalpur.
8. The Sub Divisional Officer, Bhagalpur, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shivendra Kishor, Sr. Adv. Mr. Saroj Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Gyan Prakash Ojha, GA-7
For Mines Department		Mr. Naresh Dixit, Adv. Ms. Kalpana, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 18-11-2019 This public interest litigation has been filed for the following relief/s as stipulated in paragraph-1 of the writ application:

“1. That this public interest litigation is being filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for direction to respondents for



considering the case of the petitioner by providing the rasta/road in southern side of Railway line ROB to Eastern side towards Railway Station about 1000 ft. length of 18 ft. rasta otherwise local public of the village Adarsh Nagar, Sitarampur, Rajgangapur, Bishaouni, Pithdouri, and Shivnandanpur and further issue direction to respondent to restrain for construction for constructing boundary wall on the ground the local public shall be suffered from go out and coming to his village and further issue direction to the respondent to provide a rasta/road for the villagers who are residing in the southern and western side of Sultanganj Railway Station and further issue direction to the respondents to consider the application of the petitioner and further give other legal consequential benefit to the petitioner.”

Considering the nature of grievance raised in the application, we are of the considered view that it would be appropriate if the petitioners were to first approach the respondent authorities by way of filing representation.

The present application is, accordingly, disposed of reserving the liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate



representation before the appropriate authority within a period of four weeks. If such a representation is filed by the petitioner within the time stipulated, the authorities are directed to consider the same and dispose it of expeditiously and preferably within a period of three months thereafter.

With the aforesaid observations, this application is disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Dinesh Kumar Singh, J)

K.C.Jha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

