

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64058 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-26 Year-2019 Thana- NTPC District- Bhagalpur

-
1. ANUJ KUMAR DAS @ ANUJ KUMAR @ ANUJ DAS Son of Jitan Das Resident of Village- Salempur, Saini, P.S.- Kahalgaon, District- Bhagalpur.
 2. Ramesh Das Son of Malti Sharan Das Resident of Village- Salempur, Saini, P.S.- Kahalgaon, District- Bhagalpur.
 3. Jitan Das Son of Late Yamun Das Resident of Village- Salempur, Saini, P.S.- Kahalgaon, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Deepak Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Prasad Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

2 18-10-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with N.T.P.C. P.S. Case No.26 of 2019 registered under Sections 498(A), 354 and 376/34 of the Indian Penal Code besides Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

The accusation is that before eight years, the informant, due to illness of her sister, went at the sasural village of her sister to help her, where Manish Kumar, the brother-in-law of the sister of the informant, used to eve-tease her, due to that reason, she was sent to her parental house. Thereafter,



Manish Kumar started to visit the parental house of the informant and, in that course, love affair started in between the informant and Manish Kumar. Manish Kumar also gave assurance to the informant to perform the marriage with her and on the assurance of marriage, Manish Kumar developed physical relation with the informant. When the informant made proposal before Manish Kumar to perform the marriage, then he demanded cash Rs.2,50,000/-, gold ring and Apachi Motorcycle but the mother of the informant was unable to fulfill the aforesaid demand. Thereafter, when the matter was reported to these petitioners, they supported the demand made by Manish Kumar and also told that if the aforesaid demand is not fulfilled, her marriage would not be performed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner no.1 is brother, petitioner no.2 is cousin brother and the petitioner no.3 is the father of Manish Kumar and they have falsely been implicated in this case by the informant only to put pressure upon them so that they may compel Manish Kumar to get the marriage performed with her.

Having considered the facts and the circumstances of the case, let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender by them within six weeks from today, be



enlarged on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-
(Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like
amount each to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Bhagalpur, in connection with N.T.P.C. P.S. Case No.26 of
2019, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2)
Cr.P.C.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

P.S./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

