

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64786 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-116 Year-2019 Thana- PUPRI District- Sitamarhi

Mukesh Mahto, Son of Sukhe Mahto, Resident of Village - Balha
Madhusudan, P.S.- Pupri, Dist.- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dinesh Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Shakir Ahmad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-10-2019 This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail in connection with Pupri P.S. Case No. 116 of 2019, disclosing offences under Sections 272 and 273 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

As per F.I.R. there is allegation of recovery of illicit liquor from the “Bhusaula” of the petitioner.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that as a matter of fact nephew of the petitioner was arrested and there is recovery of liquor. He has falsely been implicated in this case, having no criminal antecedent.

Heard learned A.P.P. also who has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail on the ground that there is recovery of illicit liquor as per seizure list.

Having heard both sides, in view of the facts and



circumstances, as stated above, I am not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. However, the petitioner may move before the learned court below for regular bail which will be considered by the learned court below, on its own merit, without being prejudiced by order of this Court, and if possible, be disposed of on the same day.

With the aforesaid, this application is disposed of.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sunil Shukla/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

