

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66402 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-205 Year-2019 Thana- UCHKAGAON District-
Gopalganj

- =====
1. CHANDAN YADAV @ CHANDAN KUMAR YADAV @ CHANDAN KR. YADAV
Son of Raghunath Yadav @ Raghunath Hari Yadav Resident of Village-
Sathi, P.S.-Uchkagaon, District-Gopalganj.
 2. Raju Yadav @ Raju Kumar Yadav Son of Raghunath Yadav @ Raghunath
Hari Yadav Resident of Village-Sathi, P.S.-Uchkagaon, District-Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Anuj Kumar, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party: Mr. Renuka Ratnakar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

2 05-11-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest for the offences alleged under Sections 341, 323, 307, 504, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25(1-b)a/26 of the Arms Act registered in connection with Uchakagaon P.S. Case No. 205 of 2019.

3. It is submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the backdrop of money dispute as the parties are agnates. A counter version of the occurrence has also been filed by the petitioner's side (Annexure-2). In any event it is submitted that the injuries sustained by the informant are simple in nature. The petitioners are accused in one prior case each.

4. Be that as it may, in the event of the petitioners' arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from the date of communication of this order, let the above named



petitioners be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-IX, Gopalganj in connection with Uchakagaon P.S. Case No. 205 of 2019, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., and also subject to the following further conditions -

(i) That one of the bailors of each of the petitioners shall be their close relatives other than the petitioners herein.

(ii) That the petitioners shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioners shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make themselves available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) That the petitioners shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bonds shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

