

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1476 of 2017

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18848 of 2014

=====

Ram Lakhan Yadav, Son of Late Yugat Yadav, Resident of Village- Lalpur,
P.S.-Singhwara, District-Darbhangha

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Labour and Training Deptt,
Govt of Bihar Sri Dipak Kumar Singh
2. Sri Sukar Paswan, the District Provident Fund Officer, Hazipur, District-
Vaishali at Hazipur
3. Sri Satish Kumar Singh, the District Provident Fund Officer, Ranchi,
Jharkhand.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Satish Chandra Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Naman Nayak, AC to AAG-10
For the State of Jharkhand:	Mr. Satyavrat Verma, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

6 18-02-2019 Having regard to the scope of contempt jurisdiction,

particularly, in view of the judgment of the Apex Court in the

case of Union of India and Others vs. Subedar Devassy PV,

reported in (2006) 1 SCC 613 reiterated in the case of Sudhir

Vasudeva, Chairman and MD, Natural Gas Corporation Limited

and Others v M George Ravishekar and Others reported in

(2014) 3 SCC 373, the Court is not inclined to widen the scope

of contempt jurisdiction.

Accordingly, the contempt proceeding is dropped.

However, liberty shall be available to the petitioner to

agitate his claim if he is aggrieved by non-payment of interest



beyond the period prescribed by the writ Court in appropriate proceeding. Disposal of the present contempt proceeding will not dis-entitle the State of Jharkhand to raise the dispute with regard to inter se obligation of the State of Bihar and State of Jharkhand.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--

