

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21919 of 2019

=====

Ram Vachan Sahni aged about 41 years, Male, Son of Bedhnath Sahni
Resident of - 131, Karanpur New Market Bochaha, Police Station-
Hamidpur, District- Muzaffarpur, the Manager of Tapt Sudha, Family
Hotel and Restaurant, District Parishad Market, Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director, Panchayati Raj Department,
Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Bhagalpur Division, Bhagalpur.
4. The District Magistrate, Bhagalpur.
5. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Bhagalpur-cum-Chief
Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Bhagalpur.
6. The District Panchayati Raj Officer, Bhagalpur.
7. Sri Amit Kumar, IAS, the then Deputy Development Commissioner,
Bhagalpur.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjan Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 18-11-2019 It is the case of the petitioner that his hotel and

restaurant has supplied foods in the training programme

held under Rajeev Gandhi Panchayat Sashaktikaran

Abhiyan in the year 2016 under oral instruction/direction of

the then Deputy Commissioner-cum-Chief Executive

Officer, Bhagalpur.

A statement has been made in the writ application



that the petitioner has submitted bills against the outstanding dues of Rs. 5,14,208/- and in one of the letter bearing no. 148 dated 07.06.2018, the respondent authorities has accepted that the bill of the petitioner is available in the file.

In the aforesaid view of the matter, this Writ Application is being disposed off with a direction to the Deputy Development Commissioner, Bhagalpur-cum-Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Bhagalpur (Respondent NO. 5) to look into the grievance of the petitioner, consider the same and in case it is found on due verification that the petitioner has supplied foods and has submitted bills which are available in the file, an appropriate decision be taken thereon within a period of two months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

In case, the petitioner is found entitled for payment, an admitted amount may be paid within next 30 days, however the verification must be done independently and appropriate decision be taken without further delay.

In case, the petitioner is still aggrieved from the action of the respondent authorities in not paying the bills, it



will be open for the petitioner to seeks his remedy before an
appropriate Court/Forum in accordance with law.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Rajeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

