

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64930 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-158 Year-2019 Thana- GAYA COMPLAINT CASE District-
Gaya

-
1. Veena Verma @ Veena Devi, W/o Amrendra Kumar Verma, R/o Mohalla-
Brahmani Ghat, Upper Gali, P.S.- Vishnupad, District- Gaya
 2. Anand Kumar Verma, S/o Amrendra Kumar Verma, R/o Mohalla- Brahmani
Ghat, Upper Gali, P.S.- Vishnupad, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Jitendra Mohan Singh, S/o Late Brijnandan Singh, R/o village- Gere, P.S.-
Mufassil, District- Gaya. At present residing at Mohalla- Manpur Mallahtoli,
P.S.- Buniyadganj, District- Gaya

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Indrajesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyendra Narayan Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-10-2019 This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail in
connection with Complaint Case No. 158 of 2019, disclosing
offences under Sections 420, 406, 34 of IPC.

As per complaint case allegation has been made
against the petitioners that there was an agreement for sale of 10
acres of land with one Amrendra Kumar Verma, for which, Rs.
70 lacs has been given in advance, but later on, complainant
came to know that with respect to the said land, a case is
pending before the Sub Judge, of which, he asked the petitioners
to return the amount, but they are not returning the same, as
such, the complainant has been cheated by the petitioners and



others.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners is that petitioner no.1 is wife and petitioner no.2 is son of Amrendra Kumar Verma. Even according to the complaint petition, an agreement was reached between complainant and Amrendra Kumar Verma and amount was given to Amrendra Kumar Verma and no specific act has been attributed against the petitioners. There is no chit of paper to show that amount of Rs.70 lacs has been received by the petitioners.

Heard learned A.P.P. as well as learned counsel for the complainant, who has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail on the ground that Annexure 3 itself shows that payment was made and there is signature of Amrendra Kumar Verma in the agreement showing that the payment has been made.

Having heard both sides, in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, this application is allowed. Let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-IX, Gaya, in connection with Complaint Case No. 158 of 2019, subject to the condition laid



down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Sunil Shukla/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

