

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62523 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-83 Year-2019 Thana- MUFFASIL District- West
Champanan

=====

DEENANATH CHAUDHARY Son of Shankar Chaudhary Resident of
Haribatika Chowk, P.S.- Bettiah, Muffasil, Distt - West Chamapran.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party: Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

2 14-10-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences
alleged under Sections 341, 323, 504, 384/34 of the Indian Penal
Code registered in connection with Bettiah Muffasil P.S. Case No.
83 of 2019.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated and as a matter of fact there is land dispute between
the parties and in any event the ingredients of the offence under
Section 384 IPC are not made out in the absence of delivery of
any money to the petitioner. The other offences alleged are
bailable in nature. The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, in the event of the petitioner's
arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from
the date of communication of this order, let the above named
petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of
Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each
to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bettiah,



West Champaran in connection with Bettiah Muffasil P.S. Case No. 83 of 2019, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., and also subject to the following further conditions -

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Ubrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

