

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67481 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-248 Year-2019 Thana- MOHANIYA District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

1. RAM AKBAL RAM @ RAM EKBAL RAM Son of Bansh Ram Resident of Village - Jigina, P.S.- Mohania, Distt.- Kaimur at Bhabua.
2. Kishmati Devi W/o Ram Akbal Ram @ Ram Ekbal Ram Resident of Village - Jigina, P.S.- Mohania, Distt.- Kaimur at Bhabua.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajani Kant Pandey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

2 07-11-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest for the offences alleged under Section 304-B/34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered in connection with Mohania P.S.Case No. 248 of 2019.

3. It is submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated on the accusation of having killed the informant's daughter. It is submitted that accusations are general and omnibus against all the accused persons including the petitioners, who happen to be father-in-law and mother-in-law of the deceased. As a matter of fact, the petitioners were



residing separately from the deceased and her husband and had no concern with their day-to-day matters. The husband of the deceased is in custody. The petitioners claim clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, in the event of petitioners' arrest or surrender before court below within six weeks from the date of communication of this order, let the above named petitioners be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-(ten thousand) each, with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kaimur at Bhabua in connection with Mohania P.S. Case No. 248 of 2019, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P.C. and also subject to the following further conditions:

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioners.

(ii) That the petitioners shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioners shall co-operate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make themselves available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) That the petitioner no.1 shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and



petitioner no.2 shall be well represented in court on each and every date during trial, except as and when directed by the learned court below to be physically present and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bonds shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

HR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

