

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62517 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-332 Year-2018 Thana- GOGRI District- Khagaria

1. RAJNEETI YADAV Son of Late Asharfi Yadav Resident of Village- Dhankheta, P.S.- Gogri, District- Khagaria.
2. Sulekha Devi Wife of Sudhir Yadav Resident of Village- Dhankheta, P.S.- Gogri, District- Khagaria.
3. Dharmendra Yadav Son of Rajneeti Yadav Resident of Village- Dhankheta, P.S.- Gogri, District- Khagaria.
4. Gopal Yadav Son of Rajneeti Yadav Resident of Village- Dhankheta, P.S.- Gogri, District- Khagaria.
5. Kajal Yadav Son of Rajneeti Yadav Resident of Village- Dhankheta, P.S.- Gogri, District- Khagaria.
6. Badal Yadav Son of Rajneeti Yadav Resident of Village- Dhankheta, P.S.- Gogri, District- Khagaria.
7. Dilka Yadav Son of Rajneeti Yadav Resident of Village- Dhankheta, P.S.- Gogri, District- Khagaria.
8. Sakhiya Devi Wife of Rajneeti Yadav Resident of Village- Dhankheta, P.S.- Gogri, District- Khagaria.
9. Rekha Devi Wife of Dharmendra Yadav Resident of Village- Dhankheta, P.S.- Gogri, District- Khagaria.
10. Archana Devi Wife of Gopal Yadav Resident of Village- Dhankheta, P.S.- Gogri, District- Khagaria.
11. Sudhir Yadav Son of Late Asharfi Yadav Resident of Village- Dhankheta, P.S.- Gogri, District- Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Praveen Kumar Agrawal
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uma Shankar Prasad Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 16-11-2019

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection
with Gogri P.S.Case No.332/18 , registered for offences



punishable under Sections 341, 323, 447, 380, 384, 307, 504/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

As per FIR, the petitioners and other accused persons came variously armed and made indiscriminate firing on one Anuj Yadav but he entered inside the house to save his life. Further allegation is that on the order of the petitioner no.3, all the accused persons entered inside the house, looted away the articles . There is also specific allegation of taking away VIP with cash of Rs.70,000/- against the petitioner no.2 and against the petitioner no.8 I there is allegation of taking away of tin box and against the petitioner no.1 there is allegation of thrashing the complainant on the ground and there is also allegation against the petitioner no.3 of assault on the abdomen and chest of the complainant with legs and against the petitioner no.4 there is allegation of demanding of Rs.01 lac.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners is that the whole case is false and concocted and the falsity of the case will appear from the fact that this case has been filed one month after of the occurrence and there is no serious injury to any person and the petitioners are ready to abide by



any condition imposed upon them.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, let the petitioners, above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned CJM, Khagaria in connection with Gogri P.S.Case No.332 of 2018, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

With the above direction, this application is allowed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

chn/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

