

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.63486 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-200 Year-2019 Thana- KUCHAIKOTE District-
Gopalganj

=====

RAVINDRA RAI Son of Late Satya Narayan Rai Resident of Village-
Suklauli, P.S.- Kuchaikote, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Mohammad Sufyan, Advocate.
For the State	:	Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Ravindra Kumar Mr. Rajesh Roy, Advocate.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

2 22-10-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences
alleged under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 379, 504, 506/34 of
the Indian Penal Code registered in connection with Kuchaikote
P.S. Case No. 200 of 2019.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated in the backdrop of partition dispute and there is case
and counter case between the parties who are agnates. The
petitioner is alleged to have assaulted the informant with *knife* on
the head but in any event the injuries are simple in nature. The
petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Learned APP assisted by learned counsel for the
informant appear and has been heard.

5. Be that as it may, in the event of the petitioner's
arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from
the date of communication of this order, let the above named



petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gopalganj in connection with Kuchaikote P.S. Case No. 200 of 2019, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., and also subject to the following further conditions -

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

