

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62582 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-95 Year-2018 Thana- DANAPUR RAIL P.S.
District- Patna

=====

RAJU NAT S/o Shivbachan Nat R/O Vill- Rampur Hasan Lai District-
Patna.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Nikunj Shekhar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party: Mrs. Rita Verma, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

2 17-10-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences
alleged under Sections 379, 411 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code
registered in connection with G.R.P. Dnapur P.S. Case No. 95 of
2018.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated on the extra judicial confessional statement of co-
accused Awdhesh Nat, except which there is no objective material
to connect the petitioner with the alleged occurrence. The said
co-accused Awadhesh Nat from whose possession recovery of
entire stolen amount of Rs. 19,500/- was made has since been
granted regular bail by the learned Court below. The petitioner
claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, in the event of the petitioner's
arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from
the date of communication of this order, let the above named
petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of



Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate (Railway), Patna in connection with G.R.P. Dnapaur P.S. Case No. 95 of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., and also subject to the following further conditions -

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

