

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1410 of 2017

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18192 of 2014

-
1. Ram Sewak Sah son of Late Gudar Sah resident of Village - Madhepura, Police Station - Kaluahi, District - Madhubani.
 2. Vijay Kumar Prasad Sah son of Sri Kishori Lal Sah resident of village and Police Station - Kaluahi, District - Madhubani.
 3. Ram Chandra Sah son of Late Bhagwat Sah resident of Village and Police Station - Rahika, District - Madhubani.
 4. Shyam Mahaseth son of Ram Bilas Mahaseth resident of Mohalla - Gandhi Chowk, Balua, Police Station and District Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sri R.K. Mahajan, the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar
3. Sri Anul Kumar Sinha, the Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board Higher Education, Patna.
4. Sri R.K. Khandawal, the Commissioner, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
5. Sri Chandra Shekhar Singh, Regional Deputy Director of Education Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
6. Sri Girbal Dayal Singh, the District Magistrate, Madhubani.
7. Abhilasha Kumari Sharma, the Sub-Divisional Officer, Madhubani.
8. Shri A. Hasan, the District Educational Officer, Madhubani.
9. Shri Subhash Chandra Shrivastava, the Principal Mithila Janta Mahavidyalaya, District - Madhubani.
10. Sri Sunil Nayak, the Secretary Mithila Janta Mahavidyalaya, Madhubani, District - Madhubani.
11. Mithila Janta Mahavidyalaya, Madhubani, District - Madhubani, through its Principal Sri Kailash Kum

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Alok Choudhary, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. S. S. Tiwary, AC to AAG-15
For the Board	:	Mrs. Archana Jha, Advocate
For Respondent No.9	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Ghosarvey, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

5 18-02-2019 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that the Bihar School Examination Board, Patna after giving opportunity to the petitioners has rejected their claim, no further indulgence is



required in the matter. Accordingly, the present contempt proceeding is dropped.

However, liberty shall be available to the petitioners to approach the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--

