

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No. 1195 of 2017

Arising out of

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No. 5047 of 2013

=====

Manoj Kumar, Son of Late Mahesh Prasad, Resident of Village- Mirganj, P.S.
Warisaliganj, District- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Jitendra Srivastava, Son of name not known to the Petitioner, at present working as the Secretary, Department of Primary Secondary and Adult Education, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
2. Sri. M. Ramchandrudu, Son of name not known to the petitioner at Present Working as the Director (Education), Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Smt. Kalayani Kumari, Wife of name not known to the Petitioner, at Present Working as the Regional Deputy Director of Education, Magadh Division, Gaya.
4. Dr. Priya Ranjan Prasad, Son of name not known to the Petitioner, at Present Working as the District Programme Officer, Gaya, Bihar.
5. Sri. Thakur Manoranjan Prasad, Son of name not known to the Petitioner, at Present Working as Sub-Divisional Education Officer, Gaya, Bihar.
6. Smt. Geeta Kumari, Wife of name not known to the petitioner, at Present Working as the Block Education Extension Officer, Atri, Gaya, Bihar.
7. The District Provident Fund Officer, Gaya.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kripa Nand Jha, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Rakesh Narayan Singh, AC to AAG 15

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN
AMANULLAH**

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 06-11-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned AC
to AAG 15 for the State.

2. Supplementary show cause has been filed on behalf
of opposite party no. 4.



3. From the pleadings and materials on record, the Court finds that the order dated 09.10.2012 passed in CWJC No. 656 of 2008, has been complied with.

4. Accordingly, the application stands disposed off.

5. However, if the petitioner has any grievance with regard to any error in the calculation made with regard to payment, it shall be open to him to file an appropriate representation before the competent authority pointing out such calculation error. If such a representation is filed within four weeks from today, the authority concerned shall get the matter verified from the records and pass a reasoned order within three weeks from the date of filing of the representation. If anything further is found due and payable to the petitioner, the same shall also be paid to him within the next three weeks.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

P. Kumar

AFR/NAFR	
U	
T	

