

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.73971 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-234 Year-2017 Thana- ALAMGANJ District- Patna

Jeet Raj @ Jeetu, Son of Late Raj Kumar, Resident of Village- Gaighat Gauri Shankar Colony, P.S.- Alamganj, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vishwa Ranjan Choudhary, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Prem Kumar Jha, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 11-01-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in Alamganj P.S. Case No. 234 of 2017 (Sessions Trial No. 546 of 2018), instituted for the offence under Section 304(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

Petitioner is husband of the deceased.

In the written report it is alleged that informant has performed second marriage of her daughter with petitioner. Petitioner has also married earlier and he has two sons from his first wife. It is further alleged that petitioner used to torture daughter of the informant for demand of dowry. On 16.6.2017 the informant got information that her daughter Indu Devi has been burnt by this petitioner along with his son Makhan Kumar by pouring Kerosene oil over his body. The informant along



with her family members went to NMCH to see her daughter where she found her daughter in burn condition. She was speaking that she was burnt by her husband Jeet Raj @ Jeetu (petitioner) and his son Makhan Kumar, by pouring Kerosene oil over her body. Thereafter, the daughter of the informant died in Hospital during course of treatment.

Case diary has been received.

Post mortem report is available in the case diary wherein the Doctor has found 95 per cent burn injury on the person of the deceased.

Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

Prayer for bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

The trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same as early as possible preferably within a period of nine months.

Petitioner is at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after nine months in the event trial is not concluded within nine months.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

