

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.75260 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-60 Year-2018 Thana- SANHAULA District- Bhagalpur

Manoj Yadav, Son of Vakil Yadav, Resident of Village- Mahdevapur, P.S.
Sanhola, District Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Gupta, Advocate
For the Opposite Party : APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

3 21-01-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences alleged under Sections 341, 323, 307, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code registered in connection with Sanhola P.S. Case No. 60 of 2018.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and the accusations of assault are general and omnibus in nature against all the accused persons except co-accused Pappu Yadav who is said to have assaulted the informant's father by *Khanti* on his head. It is submitted that the injuries are simple in nature. The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, in the event of the petitioner's arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from the date of communication of this order, let the above named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bhagalpur, in connection with Sanhola P.S. Case No. 60 of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under



Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. and with further conditions –

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(iv) The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Vikash Jain, J)

BT/Chandran

U		T	
---	--	---	--

