

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.72669 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-407 Year-2018 Thana- MUZAFFARPUR SADAR District-
Muzaffarpur

=====

Murari Kumar son of Awdhesh Ray resident of Village- Khapura, P.S.
Vidupur, District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Niranjan Parihar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sri Navin Kumar Pandey

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 17-01-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in
connection with Sadar P.S. Case No. 407 of 2018 registered for
the offence punishable under Sections 392, 414 of the Indian
Penal Code.

Informant has alleged in his written complaint that
while he was going to deposit cash amount of Rs. 4 lacs then
four miscreants on two motorcycles intercepted him and on
strength of arms snatched away 4 lacs from their possession.
They have claimed that they can identify the miscreants.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
his name has surfaced in this case on the basis of self



confession. It has been further submitted that similarly placed co-accused, namely Chandan Kumar has been granted bail by this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 03.12.2018 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 68872 of 2018. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 17.06.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Muzaffarpur, in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No. 407 of 2018 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

