

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18723 of 2019

=====

Vijay Mahto Son of Ram Sagar Mahto, Resident of Baligarh, P.S.-
Runnisaidpur, District-Sitamarhi ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Excise Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Sitamarhi.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Sitamarhi.
4. The Excise Superintendent, Sitamarhi.
5. The Excise Inspector, Sitamarhi.
6. The O/C Runnisaidpur, P.S.-Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Hans Lal Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Vivek Prasad (Gp7)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT KUMAR
SRIVASTAVA**

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

**(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT KUMAR
SRIVASTAVA)**

Date : 16-12-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner seeks release of his TVS motorcycle
bearing Engine No. FF1AK1904075 Chasis No.
MD625FF1XK3A60751 which was seized in connection with
Runnisaidpur Police Station Case No. 160 of 2019 registered
for the offence punishable under the Bihar Prohibition and
Excise Act, 2016.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the



confiscation proceedings has not been initiated as yet but the learned counsel of the State submits that he is not in a position to confirm the contention of the petitioner regarding initiation of the confiscation proceedings and therefore he will seek the report from the concerned District Collector about the initiation of confiscation proceeding.

In view of the aforesaid submission as well as in the facts and circumstances of the case, this writ application stands disposed of with a direction to the concerned Court to seek a report from the District Collector about initiation of confiscation proceeding in respect of seized vehicle in connection with Runnisaipur Police Station Case No. 160 of 2019 within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order and if the report of the concerned District Collector reflects that the confiscation proceeding has already been initiated, in that event, the concerned court shall not release the seized vehicle in favour of the petitioner, but if the report of the District Collector reveals that the confiscation proceedings has not been initiated as yet in respect of seized vehicle, the concerned Court shall release the seized vehicle provisionally in favour of the petitioner on production of ownership and registration papers with respect to



the vehicle in question before the court below on the execution of bond of Rs.50,000/- within two weeks from the date of receipt of report of District Magistrate, with the condition that the petitioner shall not transfer or alienate the said vehicle without prior permission of the concerned Court and shall produce the aforesaid vehicle whenever and wherever it is required by the Court till final disposal of the confiscation proceedings.

Furthermore, it is made clear that if the confiscation proceedings has already been initiated in respect of the seized vehicle, the concerned District Collector shall conclude the same within 60 days from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

Writ petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid directions.

(Hemant Kumar Srivastava, J)

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Shashi

AFR/NAFR	NA
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	19.12.2019
Transmission Date	

