

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20229 of 2019

=====

Inam Ahmad Khan, son of Abdul Karim Khan, Resident of Village- Kauroo
Dhauroo, Police Station- Manjhi, District Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through Principal Secretary, Department of Excise,
Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Siwan.
4. The Superintendent of Police, Siwan.
5. The S.H.O., Hussainganj Police Station, Siwan.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Raghav Prasad, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Vikash Kumar (SC11)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY)

Date : 17-12-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for
the State.

The petitioner prays for provisional release of the vehicle Bolero
bearing Registration No. BR04Q-3126, which has been seized in
connection with Hussainganj P.S. Case No. 211 of 2019 for the offences
punishable under Sections 30 (a), 36, 41(1) of the Bihar Prohibition and
Excise Act.

It is stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that confiscation
proceeding is yet to be initiated and the vehicle is lying under the open
sky in the police station. The seizure list reflects the seizure of
approximately 108.680 liters of IMFL.



Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking note of the nature of seizure made as well as the fact that the confiscation proceeding is yet to be initiated, we direct that the vehicle in question be released provisionally in favour of the petitioner on production of ownership and registration with respect to vehicle in question in his name before the designated Court below with one surety along with a Bank Guarantee proportionate to the value of the vehicle looking to the age as indicated in the insurance document. The petitioner will renew the Bank Guarantee before its expiry, failure to do the money would be transferred to the State. The petitioner while submitting the surety and the bank guarantee as the case may be, shall also furnish the following affidavits / undertakings:

(i) That the vehicle in question has never been involved in any offence of similar nature in past and shall not indulge in similar offence in future.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in creating any third party right or interest in respect of the vehicle during the pendency of the confiscation proceeding, if any, and shall not alienate the vehicle during this period.

(iii) The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking to produce the vehicle before the confiscating authority as and when required.



(iv) Prior to release of the vehicle, a Panchanama would be got prepared by the designated Court below, wherein the photograph of the vehicle shall be taken and will be certified by the petitioner and the same shall be kept on record so that in future, if so required, it may be used as a secondary evidence. The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking not to challenge the said Panchanama in course of trial.

The release shall be allowed within a period of 14 days from the date of production of ownership/registration papers supporting the claim of the petitioner together with one surety along with the bank guarantee proportionate to the value of the vehicle looking to the age as indicated in the insurance document and the undertakings as stated above. This release of the vehicle would, however, be subject to initiation and finalization of the confiscation proceeding.

With the observations/directions above, this writ petition is allowed.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

amitkumar/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	07.01.2020

