

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3506 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12943 of 2016

-
-
1. Mukesh Sahni son of Shri Bhagwat Sahni, resident of village and P.O- Satish Nagar, P.S.- Pasraha, Distt-Khagaria.
 2. Gopal Sahni son of Late Dashrath Sahni, resident of village and P.O.- Satish Nagar, P.S.- Pasraha, Distt-Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. N. Vijayalakshmi, the Principal Secretary, Animal Husbandry and Fish Resources Department, Govt. O Govt. of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
2. Md. Nausad Yusuf the Collector, Begusarai
3. Nishat Ahmad , the Director, Fisheries Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. A.K. Mishra the Regional Officer, Bihar, National Highway Authority of India, D-63, 1st. Floor, Sri Krishnapuri, Patna-1.
5. Shri Manoj Kumar the Executive Engineer, National Highway Division, Khagaria.
6. Sureaj Prakash the Project Director, National Highway Authority, Tiltrath, Begusarai.
7. Shri Bibhuti Chandra the Assistant Engineer, National Highway, Sub-Division, Pasraha, Khagaria.
8. Shri Jyotendra Kumar Joshi the junior Engineer, National Highway, Pasraha, Narainpur, Distt-Khagaria.
9. Anjani Kumar the District Fisheries Officer-cum-Chief Executive Officer, Khagaria

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Mukesh Kumar
For the State	:	Ms. Nutan Sahay, AC to AAG 12
For the NHI	:	Mr. S.N.Pathak, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT KUMAR SRIVASTAVA

ORAL ORDER

10 27-03-2019 Show cause is filed on behalf of the opposite party

No. 4. Let it may be kept on record.

Learned counsel appearing for N.H.I. submits that the order of this Court has already been complied with, which is



evident from perusal of Annexure C to the show cause. It is submitted that the concerned Officers of N.H.I. are present before this Court to pay respect to this Court.

Learned counsel appearing for petitioners seeks adjournment to go through the show cause filed on behalf of opposite party No. 4.

But, in my view, when the order of this Court has already been complied with by the concerned authority, there is no need to keep this petition pending and, furthermore, if the petitioners are aggrieved by the decision of the concerned authority, they may take legal recourse in accordance with law.

In view of the aforesaid fact, this contempt petition stands disposed of.

(Hemant Kumar Srivastava, J)

Spd/-

U			
---	--	--	--

