

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.63394 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-531 Year-2019 Thana- MASAUDHI District-
Patna

=====

ARYAN AKELA @ CHANDAN KUMAR S/o Arjun Singh @ Arjun Yadav
Resident of Village- Govind Chak, P.S.- Masaurhi, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Arvind Prasad Singh, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party: Mrs. Shaheen Begum, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

2 21-10-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences
alleged under Sections 356 and 379 of the Indian Penal Code
registered in connection with Masaurhi P.S. Case No. 531 of 2019.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated merely on the confession statement of co-accused
Ranjan Kumar, except which there is no objective material to
connect the petitioner with the alleged occurrence. Statement is
made at the Bar on instruction that the stolen mobile phone has
not been recovered from his conscious possession. The petitioner
claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, in the event of the petitioner's
arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from
the date of communication of this order, let the above named
petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of
Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each
to the satisfaction of Sri B.N. Tripathi, learned Judicial Magistrate



Ist Class, Masaurhi, Patna in connection with Masaurhi P.S. Case No. 531 of 2019, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., and also subject to the following further conditions -

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

lbrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

