

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59857 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-17 Year-2016 Thana- NARDIGANJ District- Nawada

Sukhdeo Verma @ Sukhdeo Prasad Yadav S/o Chanchal Prasad, R/o Village -
Uppardih, P.S.- Sirdala, Distt.- Nawada at present Panchyat Secretary, Ichua
KarnaPanvhyat,P.S.-Nardiganj,Distt.-Nawada.... Petitioner
Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amresh Kumar Sinha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ajay Mishra

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

2 20-11-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

In this case, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail
in connection with Nardiganj P.S. Case No.17/2016 registered
for offence punishable under sections 420 and 406 IPC.

The FIR has been lodged on account of non-submission
of the folder for the year 2003.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner was not posted there in the year 2003 and other
folders, he has already deposited.

In view of the statement made at the Bar, the
prayer for bail of the petitioner is allowed and he, in the event
of arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks
from today, is directed to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on
furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the
like amount each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate 1st
Class, Nawada in connection with Nardiganj P.S. Case No.17



of 2016, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 438 Cr.PC and also subject to the condition that one of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court. The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence. The petitioner shall cooperate in the disposal of trial and make himself available as and when required by the court.

The court below is directed to verify the statement made by the petitioner in the present application and if it is found that the wrong statement has been made, the benefit granted to the petitioner will be treated to have been withdrawn.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Mahesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

