

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.68866 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-16 Year-2018 Thana- MADHUBANI COMPLAINT CASE
District- Madhubani

-
1. VEENA DEVI @ VEENA JHA Wife of Naveen Chandra Jha Resident of Village - Champa - Parjuar, P.S.- Arer (Benipatti), District - Madhubani
 2. Kavita Jha Wife of Late Pankaj Kumar Jha Resident of Hillview Colony, Jindal Steel Power Ltd, P.S.- Jutemill Chauki, District - Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
 3. Sidhant Jha @ Sidhant Son of Late Pankaj Kumar Jha Resident of Hillview Colony, Jindal Steel Power Ltd, P.S.- Jutemill Chauki, District - Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
 4. Jagat Kishore Jha Son of Late Raj Kant Jha Resident of Bistupur, Cue Road, Room No. G- 10, P.S.- Bistupur, District - Jamshedpur (Jharkhand)
 5. Sushila Jha Wife of Jagat Kishore Jha Resident of Bistupur, Cue Road, Room No. G- 10, P.S.- Bistupur, District - Jamshedpur (Jharkhand)
 6. Kamini Jha Wife of Pankaj Kumar Resident of Gurgaon Sohna Road, Badshahpur, P.S.- Badshahpur, District - Gurugram (Haryana)
 7. Pankaj Jha @ Pankaj Kumar Son of Vijendra Choudhary Resident of Gurgaon Sohna Road, Badshahpur, P.S.- Badshahpur, District - Gurugram (Haryana)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR Bihar
2. Puja Jha Daughter of Jeevannath Mishra Resident of Village Murliyachak (Munitol), P.S.- Patauna (Bisfi) District- Madhubani

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rana Pratap Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ahmad Ali

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

3 27-11-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in
connection with Complaint Case No. 16 of 2018 for the
offence punishable under Sections 323 and 498A of the
Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition



Act.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners, who are of clean antecedent, are innocent and have not committed any offence. In fact, these petitioners happen to be close relatives of the complainant and they have no concern with the alleged occurrence. Neither they have demanded any dowry from the complainant nor assaulted her in any manner. Hence, the petitioners may be granted the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the, above named, petitioners in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Madhubani in connection with Complaint Case No. 16 of 2018 subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

brajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

