

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18869 of 2019

=====

Anupama Ranjana @ Kumari Rupam Ranjana W/o Om Parkash Keshri
Resident of Village and P.O.- Dhandhar, P.S.- Vazirganj, District- Gaya.
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director Primary Education Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate Gaya.
4. The District Education Officer Gaya.
5. The District Programme Officer (Establishment) Gaya.
6. The Block Development Officer Vazirganj (Gaya).
7. Manoj Kumar S/o Late Bachchan Prasad Resident of Village- Khojapatti, P.O.- Koshila, P.S.- Magadh University, Bodhgaya, District- Gaya.
8. Reshmai Kumari D/o Late Gaya Prasad Resident of Village and P.O.- Akri, Pandey Bigha, District- Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Arvind Kumar Mr.Ratanakar Jha
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Shankar Kumar Thakur (Gp27)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 13-09-2019 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to delete the name of respondent nos. 7 and 8 during the course of the day.

The petitioner has filed the present application for a direction to the respondent to appoint the petitioner on compassionate ground.

The husband of the petitioner died in harness on 28.7.2015. Thereafter, the petitioner approached the respondents for compassionate appointment. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Gaya forwarded all the relevant



documents of the petitioner for appropriate decision but till date no decision one way or the other has been taken by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that others were favoured with the appointment but the petitioner has been discriminated.

Considering the object behind the compassionate appointment, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to take appropriate decision with regard to claim of the petitioner for compassionate appointment at the earliest, preferably within a period of sixty days from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

While taking decision, the respondents are required to consider the case of the petitioner in the light of similarly circumstanced others who have been favoured with the compassionate appointment.

With the aforesaid, the writ application stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

