

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA  
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58383 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-726 Year-2018 Thana- HAJIPUR District-  
Vaishali

=====

ROHIT SINGH, male, aged about 25 years, Son of Late Buddh Dev Singh  
Resident of Village - Chhoti Marai Hajipur, P.S.- Hajipur Town, Dist.-  
Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN**

ORAL ORDER

2      17-09-2019                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences  
alleged under Sections 452, 427, 385, 504, 506/34 of the Indian  
Penal Code registered in connection with Hajipur Town P.S. Case  
No. 726 of 2018.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely  
implicated in a dispute over payment of fees to the informant in  
respect of treatment of a patient, Munni Devi. It is further  
submitted that the petitioner is in no way related to the said  
patient rather he had merely mediated in settlement of the fees.  
It is submitted that the ingredients of the offence alleged under  
Section 452 IPC are not attracted and the remaining provisions  
are bailable.

4. Be that as it may, in the event of the petitioner's  
arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from  
the date of communication of this order, let the above named



petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Hajipur Town P.S. Case No. 726 of 2018 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., and also subject to the following further conditions -

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

**(Vikash Jain, J)**

Ibrar//-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

