

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20364 of 2019

Manisha Kumari W/o Abhishek Kumar Chandan Resident of Village-
Majuraha, Ward no. 1, Gram Panchayat Raj Hardiya, Block- Turkauliya, P.s.-
Raghunathpur, P.O. (Turkauliya), district- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director I.C.D.s., Directorate of I.C.D.S., Bihar (Social Welfare Department), Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The District Magistrate East Champaran at Motihari, District- East Champaran
4. The District Programme Officer East Champaran, District- East Champaran
5. The Child Development Project Officer Turkauliya, District- East Champaran
6. Gram Panchayat Raj Hardiya Ward No. 1, through the Mukhiya Police Station- Raghunathpur, O.P. (Turkauliya), District- East Champaran
7. The Mukhiya Gram Panchayat Raj Hardiya Ward No. 1, Police Station- Raghunathpur, O.P. (Turkauliya), District- East Champaran
8. Mamta Kumari D/o Shiv Pujan Ram Resident of Village and P.O.- Shankar Saraiya, Police station- Turkauliya, District- East Champaran

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Santosh Kumar Verma
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Gyan Prakash Ojha (GA-7)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 22-11-2019 The matter arises out of a selection process of engagement of Anganbari Sevika for Centre No. 195 of Ward No.1, Hardiya Panchayat in the district of East Champaran at Motihari.

The petitioner has a grievance that respondent No. 8 has used forged documents relating to her educational qualification to get selected. This is an admitted fact that the



selection is still in progress. The selection of Anganbari Sevika is done under the guidelines issued by the Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, which, *inter alia*, prescribes for a grievance redressal mechanism under which the petitioner could have approached the competent authority, i.e., the Child Development Project Officer or the District Programme Officer, as the case may be.

This application is thus disposed of with the liberty to the petitioner to approach the competent authority at appropriate stage in accordance with law raising her grievance.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Pawan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

