

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58192 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-309 Year-2018 Thana- RANIGANJ District- Araria

=====

Harnu Mehta @ Hari Narayan Mehta, Son of Late Padma Lal Mehta,
Resident of Village - Madhulata, Ward No. - 04, P.S. - Raniganj, District -
Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Murli Dhar, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 20-09-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 302 and 201/34 of the
Indian Penal Code.

The informant is not an eyewitness of the occurrence
of murder of her husband. One Yoganand Mehta claims to have
seen the occurrence as he had gone along with the husband of
the deceased.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
Yoganand Mehta had also sustained injury and he left the place
of occurrence prior to the occurrence of assault allegedly
committed on the husband of the deceased. Therefore, Yoganand
Mehta is an eyewitness of the occurrence is itself doubtful. The



Doctor has found only two injuries on the person of the informant whereas allegation of assault is against four persons. Co-accused Musharu Mehta and Sitaram Mehta have already been allowed bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 17.07.2019 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 32871 of 2019 and Cr. Mics. No. 30132 of 2019.

Considering the aforesaid facts, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Raniganj Police Station Case No. 309 of 2018, subject to the following conditions:-

(a) The petitioner shall fully cooperate with the investigation/trial of the case, failing which the learned court below shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the petitioner.

(b) Both the bailors shall be resident of the territorial jurisdiction of the learned court below.

(Birendra Kumar, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

