

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.1124 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-788 Year-2015 Thana- MUZFFARPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Muzaffarpur

=====

Sarangdhar Kumar Sri Ram Vinod Singh Resident of Village-Aaamgola
Paraw Pokhar, Lane No-2, P.S-Kazi Mohammadpur, Dist.-Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. State Of Bihar and Anr Patna
2. Shyam Kishore Singh Sri Late Ganesh Prasad Sigh Resident of Village-
Patahi, O.P. Shahi College, P.S.-Sadar, Dist.-Muzaffarpur

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ajay Thakur, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Anil Kumar, A.P.P.
For the Informant	:	Mr. Yugal Kishore, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 21-02-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P.

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in connection
with Complaint Case No. 788 of 2015, registered for offences
punishable under Sections 420 and 468 of the Indian Penal
Code.

Allegation as per F.I.R. against the petitioner is that
he has taken Rs. 5 lacs in order to get registered the land within
three months but neither the land was registered in the name of
the complainant nor return the money.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner
is that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present



case and entire allegation levelled against the petitioner is false and the complainant and petitioner were partners, dealing in the land business as well as in another business and they have purchased several pieces of land altogether and thereafter, the present case has been lodged . It is further submitted that in the complaint petition, no document is available to show about any agreement to sale the land in between the complainant and accused persons and the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. There is no chit of paper to show that the petitioner taking of Rs. 5 lacs from him and he has no criminal antecedent.

Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as discussed above, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of six weeks from the date of the order, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (Twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with Complaint Case No. 788 of 2015/ Trial No 1241 of 2018, to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Muzaffarpur, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. with other that bailors should be local having sufficient immovable



property within the jurisdiction of the Court concerned and petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present before the court below as and when required, otherwise prosecution is at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

