

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.1007 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-225 Year-2014 Thana- BELHAR District- Banka

Arbind Yadav @ Raju @ Raja Da S/o Late Damodar Yadav R/v-Yogiya
Telha,P.S-Jhajha, Dist.-Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sinha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. S. Ehteshamuddin

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 20-02-2019 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner seeks bail in Belhar P.S. Case No. **225/2014**
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 364, 302/34
of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Informant has alleged that on 25.12.2014 at 5 p.m., his
brother was kidnapped by all F.I.R. named accused persons
from Bela Bridge and later on his dead body was found near
Pairgaha Chowk. He has raised suspicion against petitioner to
be involved in his killing.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that



informant is not an eye witness and because of pending land dispute, between both parties he has been falsely implicated in this case. Similarly, situated co-accused person has been granted bail by this Court as contained in Annexure 2. Petitioner has been remanded in this case on 05.06.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Banka in connection with Belhar P.S. Case No. **225/2014**, with following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the court below.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the



evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that
case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for
cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

