

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.79436 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-226 Year-2018 Thana- RAMGARHWA District- East
Champanan

=====

Ravi Kumar Son of Devendra Prasad Resident of Village- Sugoan P.S-
Sugauli, District- East Champanan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dilip Kumar Tondon

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Smt. Nirmala Kumari

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

3 06-02-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in
connection with Ramgarahwa P.S. Case No. 226 of 2018
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 354,
354(A), 354(C), 354(D) of the Indian Penal Code and 8 POCSO
Act.

Informant is the minor girl, who in her written
complaint has stated that while going to coaching institute,
petitioner used to tease her in the way and also used filthy
language against her and used to send objectionable SMS on her
mobile kept by her uncle.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that



he is college going student and allegations are not true.
Petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since
25.10.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of
the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon
furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two
sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned 1st
Additional Session Judge cum Special Judge POCSO Act, East
Champaran, Motihari, in connection with Ramgarahwa P.S.
Case No. 226 of 2018 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient
immovable property within the jurisdiction of the
court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and
shall be properly represented on each and every date
fixed by the court and his absence on two
consecutive dates without proper and sufficient
reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his
bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or
the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution
will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of
the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

