

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57014 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-584 Year-2018 Thana- ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-
Rohtas

=====

VIKASH KUMAR SINGH @ VIKASH KUMAR S/o Kameshwar Singh R/o
Village- Sawrudih, P.S.- Sonahan, District- Kaimur at Bhabua.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR
2. Sushila Devi D/o- Vinod Singh, W/o- Vikash Kumar Singh @ Vikash Kumar
R/o Village- Akhityarpur, P.S.- Karaghar, District- Rohtas.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashutosh Tripathy

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kumar Ranjit Ranjan

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 24-09-2019 Petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in

connection with Complaint Case No. 584 of 2018 instituted for the

offences punishable under Sections 498A, 427 and 509 of the Indian

Penal Code.

It appears that pursuant to the order dated 16.9.2019 both
the parties have personally present in Court and as such this case has
been taken up out of turn. The aforesaid order disclosed that both the
parties were willing to restore their conjugal relation.

In this case there is allegation of demand of Rs.3 lac by
the petitioner, who happens to be husband of complainant and for
that she was subjected to assault and torture.

However, on query learned counsel for the petitioner has
submitted that petitioner is not ready to keep the complainant wife



with him. On the other hand, learned counsel for opposite party No.2 has submitted that she is ready to reside with the petitioner.

In the court below the stand of petitioner is that he was ready to keep the complainant with him with dignity and honour and thereafter effort was made for reconciliation between the parties before the learned Sessions Judge but the same failed. Here also the stand taken by petitioner that he is ready to keep the complainant with him but in the court below he was not ready to keep her.

Heard learned APP also.

In view of allegation made in the complaint petition as well as conduct of the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

He may surrender and pray for regular bail, which shall be considered on its own merit, without being prejudiced by this order.

This application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

spal/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

