

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57011 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-44 Year-2019 Thana- EAST COLONY District- Munger

Manish Kumar, Aged about 20 years, Male, Son of Shiv Nandan Yadav,
Resident of Village Kathara (Karhara), P.S. Piri Bazar, District Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jai Prakash Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ganesh Prasad Singh

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER**

2 13-09-2019 Heard both sides.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in East Colony
P.S. Case No.44 of 2019, registered under Sections 401, 467,
468, 471, 413 and 414 of the Indian Penal Code.

One Vikash Kumar was apprehended and he disclosed
the name of the petitioner and other accused persons who used
to commit theft of motorcycles from different places. On such
disclosure, one motorcycle was recovered from possession of
Vikash Kumar and thereafter many motorcycles were recovered
from different persons. The house of the petitioner was also
searched and one motorcycle was also recovered from the house
of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
case of theft has not been registered anywhere with regard to the



motorcycle recovered from the house of the petitioner. Petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that one motorcycle for which Annexure-2 was registered is recovered from the house of Chhotu Yadav but it appears that the petitioner and other accused persons have committed theft of different motorcycles and one person, namely, Vikash Kumar was apprehended and from his possession one motorcycle was recovered. On his disclosure the house of the petitioner was also searched and one stolen motorcycle was recovered. The petitioner did not show any chit of paper of the motorcycle recovered from the house of the petitioner.

Taking into consideration the facts aforesaid, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Jha, J)

S.KUMAR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

