

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55413 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-332 Year-2019 Thana- BEGUSARAI MUFFASIL District-  
Begusarai

- 
1. SANJEEV SINGH @ SANJIV KUMAR Son of Basudeo Singh Resident of Village-Pansalla, P.S-Mufassil, District-Begusarai.
  2. Rupesh Singh @ Rulesh Kumar Son of Chunni Singh Resident of Village-Pansalla, P.S-Mufassil, District-Begusarai.
  3. Nikesh Kumar Son of Radha Krishna Singh @ Krishna Nandan Singh Resident of Village-Pansalla, P.S-Mufassil, District-Begusarai.
  4. Rishi Singh Son of Ravindra Singh Resident of Village-Pansalla, P.S-Mufassil, District-Begusarai.
  5. Krishna Mohan Singh Son of Late Ram Nandan Singh Resident of Village-Harrakh, P.S.-Nagar, District-Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

---

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                                                       |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, Adv<br>Mr.Nilesh Kumar<br>Mr. Shashank Shekhar |
| For the State        | : | Mr.Shyam Kumar Singh<br>Mr. Rajesh Kumar,<br>Mr. Chandan Kumar        |

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      02-09-2019                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest for the offences alleged under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 325, 307, 379, 427, 447, 506 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act registered in connection with Begusarai Muffasil P.S. Case No. 332 of 2019.

3. It is submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the backdrop of land dispute and there is case and counter case between the parties. The accusations are



general and omnibus in nature without any specific assault attributed individually. The petitioner nos. 1 and 3 claim clean antecedents while petitioner nos. 2, 4 and 5 are accused in prior cases.

4. Learned APP invites reference to injury report of Ramdeo Yadav which discloses deformity of the left arm and the X-ray report shows fracture of the left arm. It is further stated that the petitioner nos. 2, 4 and 5 are having criminal antecedents and there is specific accusation against these petitioners having assaulted the informant's side.

5. Having regard to the nature of accusations, gravity of offence alleged, injuries sustained by Ramdeo Yadav and the criminal antecedents of petitioner nos. 2, 4 and 5, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. The anticipatory bail petition stands dismissed.

**(Vikash Jain, J)**

Chandran/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

