

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.59852 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-34 Year-2019 Thana- PATLIPUTRA District- Patna

VIKASH KUMAR @ VISHAL KUMAR Son Late Mukhtar Singh Resident
of - Ranipur, P.S.- Paliganj, Distt - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Nityanand Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Raj Ballabh Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

3 27-11-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in
connection with Patliputra P.S. Case No. 34 of 2019 registered
for the offence punishable under Sections 457, 380 of the Indian
Penal Code.

Informant in her written complaint has stated that on
15.01.2019 after locking her flat she had gone to attend some
official work and when she returned on 26.01.2019 lock could
not be opened and the door was opened after breaking the lock
and on entering room jeweleries and cash were found to be
stolen.

It has been submitted on behalf of petitioner that he is
innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case on
suspicion only and on the basis of his confessional statement as
well as confessional statement of co-accused Chhotu Yadav.



Nothing has been recovered from his possession and he is in custody since 04.04.2019.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below, in connection with Patliputra P.S. Case No. 34 of 2019 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

