

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.60191 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-67 Year-2019 Thana- RISIYAP District- Aurangabad

=====

SANJAY @ SHANKAR DAYAL MEHTA @ SANJAY MEHTA S/o Lakhan
Mehta R/o Village- Risiup, District- Aurangabad (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bachan Jee Ojha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pronoti Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

3 04-12-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in connection
with Risiup P.S. Case No. 67 of 2019 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 341, 323, 307, 354 and 376/511 of
the Indian Penal Code.

Informant in her written complaint has alleged that
she is separate from petitioner for last three years and her
husband remains outside being driver of a truck. On 08.07.2018
at about 11:00 PM when she was feeding her child, petitioner
entered in her room and started teasing her and tried to commit
rape upon her and also tried to kill her by setting her ablaze.
Meanwhile, her husband came and she was rescued.

It has been submitted on behalf of petitioner that he



has been falsely implicated in this case due to family feud and dispute of property. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is in custody since 10.07.2019.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below, in connection with Barahara P.S. Case No. 217 of 2019 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

