

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56619 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-168 Year-2019 Thana- PUNPUN District- Patna

=====

Satendra Kumar, Son of Late Umedh Singh Resident of Mahuli, P.S.-
Persabazar, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rakesh Bihari Singh, Adv.

Mr. Dhananjay Prasad Gupta, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dr.Mrityunjaya Kr.Gautam, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

4 27-11-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State.

The petitioner in this case is seeking anticipatory bail
in connection with Punpun P.S. Case No.168 of 2019 registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 302 and 120(B) of
the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
matter relates to a land dispute and there was an agreement in
respect of the land in question between the petitioner and the
deceased.

Learned APP for the State is present and has opposed
the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner. It is submitted
that in the case diary circumstantial evidences have come



against the petitioner and further that process under Section 82-83 Cr.P.C. has already been exhausted, therefore, at this stage the present application is not fit to be entertained.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case wherein some circumstantial evidences are there against the petitioner and the petitioner is evading his arrest, he has been declared proclaimed offender, this Court is not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to him.

This application is dismissed.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

arvind/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

