

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18668 of 2019

=====

Nitu Kumari, wife of Late Raghuraj Singh @ Pappu Singh, resident of Village/ Mohalla- Auli, P.O.- Methwalia, P.S.- Rivilganj, District- Saran at Chapra, At present resident of village- Pachasa, P.O.- Mora Talaw, P.S.- Rahui, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Public Health Engineering Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Engineer-in- Chief, Public Health Engineering Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. District Magistrate, Nalanda at Bihar Sharif.
4. Sub- divisional Officer, Bihar Sharif, Nalanda.
5. Executive Engineer, Public Health Engineering Department, Bihar Sharif, Nalanda.
6. Executive Engineer, Nalkup Pramandal, 17 Number, Soh Sarai, Nalanda.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rajendra Prasad, Sr. Advocate Mr. Ritesh Kumar, Advocate Mr. Pramod Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Bijay Kumar Sinha, A.C. to A.A.G.-5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 24-09-2019

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner claims to be daughter-in-law of one Ramraj Singh, who is said to have died on 02.12.2002 while working as an Accounts Clerk in Public Health Engineering Department and Nalkup Pramandal 17, Soh Sarai, in the district of Nalanda. She has filed the writ application nearly 17 years after the death of the Government servant seeking direction to the respondents to appoint her on compassionate ground. It is the



petitioner's case that her husband is mentally sick and her mother-in-law, because of her advanced age, was not willing and capable to take appointment on compassionate ground.

4. My attention has been drawn by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner to an informatory petition said to have been filed by the mother-in-law of the petitioner, in which, she has described the manner that the petitioner was married to Raghuraj Singh, the son of the deceased employee.

5. I am not inclined to entertain this writ application for two reasons; firstly, the petitioner has approached this Court 17 years after the death of the deceased employee, and secondly, compassionate appointment is allowed in appropriate cases to protect the family of a deceased employee by providing immediate succor, on his sudden death so that family may not have to suffer the state of penury.

6. This application in my opinion, does not have any merit, and, accordingly dismissed.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

S.Ali/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	28/09/2019
Transmission Date	N.A.

