

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.878 of 2019

=====

Chanda Devi @ Chanda Kumari Wife of Dadan Bind, Resident of Ward
No.11, Block-Cherani, P.S.-Chenari, District-Rohtas (Sasaram).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Rohtas.
4. The District Programme Officer, Rohtas.
5. The Child development Project officer (C.D.P.O.), Chenari, District-Rohtas.
6. Sushila devi Wife of Dhanesh Prasad Resident of Village- Bashara, P.S. Chenari, District-Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shashi Bhushan Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Mritunjay Kumar, AC to GP-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 03-06-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

This writ application has been preferred for a direction to the respondents to comply with the order dated 19.05.2015 and 13.07.2015 issued under the signature of the District Programme Officer, Rohtas. By these letters, the District Programme Officer has directed the Child Development Project Officer to cancel the appointment of the respondent no. 6 and issue appointment letter in favour of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite there being such a direction the Child Development Project Officer has not taken any step to comply with the same. In this regard, the



petitioner is said to have represented before the District Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram vide Annexure-8 to the writ application.

Learned counsel for the State submits that in the facts and circumstance of the case, this writ application may be disposed off with a direction to the District Magistrate, Rohtas to consider the grievance of the petitioner as contained in Annexure-8 to the writ application and after hearing all concerned an appropriate decision may be taken.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and on perusal of the records, this Court finds that there is a dispute between the petitioner and the private respondent in the matter of selection of Anganwari Sevika at Anganwari Centre Basawara, Ward No.11. The District Magistrate, Rohtas is hereby directed to consider the grievance of the petitioner, as contained in Annexure-8 to the writ application, give an opportunity to the petitioner as well as the private respondent to represent their case and thereupon take a final decision within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

The writ application stands disposed off.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--

