

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3663 of 2019

=====

Satyendra Narayan Singh Son of Dev Nandan Singh R/o L.I.G. 13/460,
Hanuman Nagar, Kankarbagh, P.S. Patrakarnagar, P.O. Lohiya Nagar, Pin
800020, Patna at present working as an Assistant, Bihar state Financial
Corporation Ara, Sahabad Branch, G.C. House, Maharaja Hatta, Pin-802301

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through Principal Secretary, Finance Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Chairman, Bihar State Financial Corporation, Fraser Road, Patna
3. The Managing Director, Bihar State Financial Corporation, Fraser Road,
Patna
4. The Assistant General Manager, Bihar State Financial Corporation, Fraser
Road, Patna
5. The Officer on Special duty (A), Bihar State Financial Corporation, Fraser
Road, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amrendra Kumar, Adv
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anuradha Singh (SC-21)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 26-02-2019 The petitioner, who is an Assistant in the Bihar

State Financial Corporation is aggrieved by the fact that he

has not been allowed promotion/selection grade/time bound

promotion/ACP/MACP etc. even when the juniors to the

petitioner have been accorded the aforesaid benefits. Time

and again, the petitioner has represented before the

concerned authority but such representation has yielded no

results.



Under the aforesaid circumstances, the petitioner is directed to make a representation before the Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Bihar State Financial Corporation, Fraser Road, Patna, detailing his grievance and citing reasons why the relief prayed for by the petitioner be not allowed to him, within a period of four weeks from today. On receipt of such representation, the same shall be disposed off by the concerned respondent by a reasoned order within a further period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the aforesaid representation and a copy of this order. In case the claim of the petitioner is found to be tenable, there is no reason why the sequel order shall not be passed within the aforesaid period.

With the aforesaid direction/observation, the petition is disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

Shageer/-

U			
---	--	--	--

