

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1781 of 2019

=====

Vijay Prakash, son of late Buchan Sah, Resident of Village and P.O and P.S.
Tilauthu, Distt. Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Deputy Development Commisisoner Cum Chief Executive Officer,
District Counsel Rohtas
3. The President, District Zila Parishad, Rohtas
4. The Vice President, District Zila Parishad, Rohtas

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajesh Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rakesh Kumar Ranjan, AC to GA-5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 14-02-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel representing the State. No one appears on behalf of the
Zila Parishad, Rohtas.

In the nature of the grievance of the petitioner, this
writ application is being disposed off at this stage itself.

The petitioner claims that he had been allotted a shop
bearing no.3 on lease after accepting a total amount of
Rs.1,09,330/- by Trimuhani Zila Parishad, Rohtas. He has
brought on record Annexure-1 which is said to be a letter of
allotment in favour of the petitioner on certain conditions.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though
the allotment was made in favour of the petitioner, but
possession of the shop was never handed over to him. In this



regard, he is said to have submitted his representation as contained in Annexure-3 to the writ application with the Chairman, Zila Parishad, Sasaram (Rohtas).

At this stage, this Court would, without going into the merits of the case, direct the Chairman, Zila Parishad, Sasaram (Rohtas) to look into the grievance of the petitioner, as contained in Annexure-3 to the writ application, examine the same and if it is found to be correct, the necessary steps towards refund of his money with adequate and reasonable rate of interest will be allowed and paid to the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

It is, however, once again made clear that this Court has not entered into the merit of the contentions and the Chairman, Zila Parishad would take an independent view based on his own finding on examination of the record.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--

