

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 17432 of 2019

=====

Md. Ali, S/o Md. Mofid Resident of Vill.- Garja, P.S.- Pupri, Distt.- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director, I.C.D.S., Social Welfare Department, Bihar, Patna
2. The Collector, Sitamarhi
3. The Distt. Programme Officer, Sitamarhi
4. The Sub-divisional Officer, Pupri, Sitamarhi
5. The Block Development Officer, Pupri
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Pupri
7. Mrs. Khadija Pravin, W/o Rafir Akhta @ Md. Nirale, son of Sabbir Ahmad, residents of Village- Garja, P.S.- Pupri, Distt.- Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Devendra Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Pramod Kumar Verma, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Rajesh Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 18-10-2019

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has questioned selection of respondent No. 7 as *Anganbari Sevika* for Anganbari Centre No. 115 of *Gram Panchayat Raj Gardha* in the district of Sitamarhi. According to the petitioner, he is a conscious citizen of the village, and, therefore, he has filed the present writ application. He was not a party to the process of selection in any manner.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent State of Bihar has raised a preliminary objection over



maintainability of the writ application on the ground that the petitioner has no *locus standi* to maintain this writ application.

5. I am satisfied with the submission made on behalf of the Respondent State of Bihar. This writ application is not maintainable as the petitioner cannot be said to be a person aggrieved.

6. This writ application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

S.Ali/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	19/10/2019
Transmission Date	N.A.

