

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56320 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-194 Year-2014 Thana- KHAGARIA COMPALINT CASE  
District- Khagaria

- 
1. DULO DEVI Wife of Late Bhikho Tanti R/o Village- Kaura, P.S.- Parbatta, District- Khagaria.
  2. Piro Tanti Son of Late Karelal Tanti R/o Village- Kaura, P.S.- Parbatta, District- Khagaria.
  3. Ranvir Tanti Son of Late Vishnudeo Tanti R/o Village- Mansi, P.S.- Mansi, District- Khagaria.
  4. Runa Devi Wife of Ranvir Tanti R/o Village- Mansi, P.S.- Mansi, District- Khagaria.
  5. Khushilal Tanti Son of Siyaram Tanti R/o Village- Bind Toil, Gawas, P.S.- Beldaur, District- Khagaria.
  6. Bebi Devi Wife of Khushilal Tanti R/o Village- Bind Toil, Gawas, P.S.- Beldaur, District- Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Ranjula Devi Wife of Mukesh Kumar, daughter of Ramkumar Tanti R/o Village- Karuna Parbatta, P.S.- Parbatta, District- Khagaria, presently residing at Village- Sanhauili, P.O.- Sanhauili, P.S.- Khagaria (Chitraguptanagar), District- Khagaria.

... .. Opposite Party/s

---

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Chandan Kumar Kashyap  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Madhura Nand Jha

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      16-11-2019                      Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No.194C of 2014 registered for offences punishable under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the D.P.Act..

It appears from perusal of the record that the summons have been issued against the petitioners under Section 319 of the Cr.P.C. to face the trial along with the other accused persons.



Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that they are in-laws of the complainant and no cognizance has been taken against them and they are ready to abide by any condition imposed upon them if they are granted anticipatory bail.

Heard learned A.P.P. and the learned counsel for the O.P.no.2, who has opposed the prayer for bail..

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, I am not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, however, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the learned court below and make prayer for regular bail, which will be considered by the learned court below on the basis of submissions and also considering that they are family members of the husband of the complainant and considering the same, the prayer for bail of the petitioner shall be disposed of on the same day.

With the aforesaid direction, this application is disposed of.

**(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)**

chn/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

