

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55683 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-36 Year-2019 Thana- CHANDRADIP District-
Jamui

=====

KARU YADAV Son of Late Mushari Yadav Resident of Village- Bara
Sohahpur, P.S.- Chandardeep, District- Jamui

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Akhileshwar Singh Mr. Surendra Kr. Singh Mr. Vaibhav Vikram Singh, Advocates.
For the Opposite Party:		Mr. Rajendra Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

3 27-11-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 26.04.2019 in connection with Chandradeep P.S. Case No. 36 of 2019 for the offences alleged under Sections 341, 323, 307, 504/34 of the Indian Penal Code but later on Section 302 IPC was added.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in connection with alleged murder of the informant's son Rajesh Kumar Yadav. It is submitted that the F.I.R. was registered under Section 341, 323, 307, 504/34 of the Indian Penal Code but Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code was subsequently added about fortnight later upon death of the informant's son. It is submitted that according to the F.I.R. the petitioner is merely the order giver and no assault whatsoever has been attributed to him. It is submitted that the petitioner's wife and daughter are said to have assaulted the informant's son which is highly improbable. The petitioner claims clean



antecedents.

4. Learned APP assisted by learned counsel for the informant appears and has been heard. No specific adverse material has been pointed out from the case diary against the petitioner.

5. Be that as it may, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-I, Jamui, in connection with Chandardeep P.S. Case No. 36 of 2019, on the following conditions-

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

