

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53592 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-627 Year-2018 Thana- RUNISAIDPUR District- Sitamarhi

Prem Kumar Singh @ Prem Kumar Tiger @ Prem Kumar Singh Tiger (male),
aged about 38 years, son of Sri Yugal Kishore Singh, resident of village-
Bhadai, Ward No. 10, P.S.- Hathauri, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pushpendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyendra Narayan Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 25-11-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Runnisaaidpur P.S. Case No. 627 of 2018 registered for the
offence under Section 394 of the Indian Penal Code and Section
27 of the Arms Act.

The petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. The
prosecution story as per the First Information Report is that
informant was going on a motorcycle to deposit a sum of Rs.
6,64,600/- along with a cheque of Rs. 9,95,000/- on National
Highway- 77 and his motorcycle was intercepted by two
motorcycles on which four persons were sitting. The informant
further stated that the accused persons snatched the money from
the informant and made firing in the air through pistols in their
hands and all the accused persons were of age group about 25-
30 years.

Mr. Pushpenra Kumar Singh, learned counsel



appearing for the petitioner submits that petitioner is not named in the First Information Report and his name has transpired on the basis of confessional statement of one Ravi Shankar Kumar @ Satyam.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for regular bail and submits that petitioner has six cases pending against him and is a history sheeter having criminal antecedent, and as such, he does not deserve the privilege of bail.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the criminal history of the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner at this stage. However, if trial is not concluded within a period of six months, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail in accordance with law thereafter.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

praful/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

