

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52569 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-116 Year-2018 Thana- SAMASTIPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Samastipur

=====

RAHUL RAJ @ PAPPU KUMAR Son of Ishwar Mistry Resident of Colony
East Lohanipur, Khadpar (Near Parvati Mandir) Ambedkar Colony, P.S.-
Kadamkuan, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR Bihar
2. Nayantara Devi W/o Rahul Raj @ Pappu Kumar, D/o Laxmi Narayan
Sharma Resident of Village-Vishwambarpur Ailouth, P.S.-Musrigharari,
District-Samastipur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Ramesh Chandra, APP
For O.P. No. 2	:	Mr. Gopal Sharan, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 05-11-2019 This application, for grant of anticipatory bail, arises

out of C.R. No. 116/2018, disclosing offences under Section

498A and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 4 of the

Dowry Prohibition Act.

Petitioner happens to be husband of the complainant
and allegation against him is of subjecting the complainant to
cruelty in connection with demand of dowry.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is
that all the allegations are false and concocted and he also has a
child and he is still ready to keep the informant and her child
with full honour and dignity.



Heard learned A.P.P. as well as learned counsel for the complainant. Learned counsel for the complainant has submitted that a divorce case has been filed by the petitioner but in spite of that complainant is also ready to live with the petitioner, if she is kept with full honour and dignity as a wife.

Having heard both sides, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this application is disposed of with direction to the petitioner and the complainant to appear before the concerned court below on 18.11.2019 and if the petitioner files an affidavit that he is ready to keep the complainant with himself with full honour and dignity and will also withdraw the divorce case and further if he is willing to take the complainant with himself from the court itself, the court below shall extend the provisional bail already granted to the petitioner by a coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 22.08.2019 for further period of six months and in the meantime, the court below will watch the conduct of the parties by calling the complainant and petitioner in the fourth week of each month for a period of six months and after six months, if the court below is satisfied with the conduct of the parties specially the conduct of petitioner, he will either confirm the provisional bail of the petitioner or will pass an appropriate order as he deems fit and



proper including cancellation of provisional bail of the
petitioner.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sunilkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

