

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51682 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-234 Year-2019 Thana- RUPASPUR District- Patna

=====

AMAN KUMAR GUPTA Son of Late Naawal Kishore Gupta Resident of
Village - Rajapul, Indranagar, P.S.- Buddha Colony, Dist.- Patna, presently
residing at House No.393, House Woner Sujit Kumar, Neharu Nagar Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mukesh Kumar No1

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 04-11-2019 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Rpushpur P.S.Case No.234 of 2019 registered for offences punishable under Sections 420 and 379 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per the FIR, the informant is said to be owner of Jai Alankar Jewellers and when the petitioner was arrested on the basis of suspicion, working as liner, in commission of theft, the informant verified the accounts and came to know that the petitioner has defalcated several articles and misappropriated tune of Rs.19-20 lac and he has also kept the vehicle of the shop bearing registration no.BR01CRR 3259 .

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is



that CCTV and sensor are installed in the shop as such it is not possible that anybody can take ornaments from the shop and the allegation is false and concocted. As a matter of fact the petitioner and his brother were working as the employee in the shop and as the brother of the petition was removed and he was demanding wages as such the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case.

Heard learned A.P.P. , who has opposed the prayer for bail on the ground that the witnesses have also supported the prosecution case during the investigation, which will appear from para 6 to 9 of the case diary..

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, I am not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, however, the petitioner may surrender and pray for regular bail.

With the aforesaid direction, this application is dismissed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

chn/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

